1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	WHEREAS, on April	10, 2008, the	Court entered	the scheduling	order setting
forth the govern	ing scheduling order i	n this action:			

WHEREAS, on May 12, 2008, the Northern District ADR Unit notified the parties and counsel of the identity of the mediator assigned to this case;

WHEREAS, while waiting to conduct mediation, the parties refrained from conducting much of the discovery necessary in order to limit the costs of litigation in an effort to facilitate settlement discussions:

WHEREAS, on July 25, 2008, the parties and counsel held a mediation session in which the case did not settle;

WHEREAS, the deadline for the exchange of expert reports is August 29, 2008;

WHEREAS, as a result of mediation, the parties have not yet completed fact discovery critical to the opinions of their respective experts;

WHEREAS, the parties also each believe that after the completion of a portion of the upcoming fact discovery and subsequent further discussions between the parties and their counsel, a settlement agreement may still be attainable;

WHEREAS, no prior stipulations or motions to amend the scheduling order have been made in this action;

THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective attorneys of record, that there is good cause for amending the current scheduling order as follows:

Event	<b>Current Schedule</b>	Proposed Schedule
Close of Fact Discovery	Nov. 3, 2008	Nov. 3, 2008
Expert Disclosures and Reports Due	Aug. 29, 2008	October. 17, 2008
Rebuttal Expert Reports Due	Sep. 15, 2008	Nov. 17, 2008
Close of Expert Discovery	Nov. 3, 2008	Dec. 23, 2009
Motions to Exclude Experts Due	Sep. 19, 2008	Jan. 26, 2009
Last Day to File Dispositive Motions	Dec. 5, 2008	Jan. 26, 2009
Motions to Exclude Experts Heard	Oct. 27, 2008	Mar. 2, 2009
Dispositive Motions Heard	Jan. 12, 2009	Mar. 2, 2009
Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements Due	Sep. 12, 2008	Nov. 14, 2009
Preliminary Pretrial Conference	Sep. 22, 2008	Nov. 21, 2009

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

		Case 5:07-cv	/-05910-JW	Document 24	Filed 08	8/08/2008	Page 3 of 3
	1				FENWICK & WEST LLP		
	2	Dated: Au	igust 8, 2008				
ATLAW	3		August 8, 2008		Dyn	/a/ <b>Prion V</b>	V. Compor
	4			By:	/s/ <u>Brian V</u>	v. Carver	
	5			Attorneys for Plaintiff CORBIS CORPORATION			
	6			CORDIS CORFORATION			
	7	Dated: Au			LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE TOWNSEND		
	8				By:	/s/ Lawren	ice Townsend
	9						
	10			Attorney for Defendant MOBILETOYS, INC.			
	11					, , ,	
	12	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X.B., I hereby attest that I have obtained					
	13	concurrence of the signatory, Lawrence Townsend, indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this efiled document.					
	14						
ATT	15		В	y:/s/ Brian W. Carver			
	16	Brian W. Carver					
	17			(DD OD O	CEDI ODI	NED.	
	18	[PROPOSED] ORDER					
	19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWING,  IT IS SO ORDERED.					
	20		DEKED.				
	21	Dated: August, 2008					
	22						
	23						
	24					Honorable J	
	25				Uill	ieu states Di	strict Court Judge
	26	23819/00413/LIT/12	89505 1				
	<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	20017/00413/111/12	0,000.1				
	20		[PROPOSED] OI CHEDULING OR		3		CASE NO. 07-05910-JW (RS)